

15 April 2021

Dear Mr. Reed,

Examination Document EXAM026 – Matter 3A – TBC – Proposed Policy Wording for WIN1

- 1.1 SF Planning are representing Mr. & Mrs. Pursey who are the landowners of the parcel of land that is in the south eastern parcel of WIN1. There are some inaccuracies in the examination document EXAM026 published by the council. These errors were raised at the hearing session as they were within the SOCG with RPS for the WIN1 allocation and those errors have been carried forward into the exam document. They are within para 4.6 of the Policy WIN1: Proposed wording document and they are about the row of mature trees which have been felled.
- 1.2 In paragraph 4.6 of the EXAM026 the council state "The land also contains a row of mature trees following the same alignment as the watercourse, although these are not subject to a Tree Preservation Order. Furthermore, the land is constrained by an existing property which may potentially need to be demolished to make way for the access if existing trees are to be retained. This is particularly the case if the access is to be provided as part of a vehicular access (for example, to serve any new dwellings on the land that would result from its allocation)." The three largest trees were removed in January this year, the trees are therefore not a particular problem although one or two other trees may need felling or trimming. There is no need to demolish any property: there is plenty of land between the two houses. At least 7 metres would be available for the access without impacting on the two existing properties.
- 1.3 Finally, it should be noted that our suggestion regarding the pedestrian/cycle access is driven by the recognition that it makes logical sense to have such access at the point closest to the town centre. It would significantly enhance the proposals made by Bromford. In addition, it would decrease the need for car journeys within Winchcombe as noted in the exam document in paragraph 1.2 and which we know is a matter of great concern to residents. We support the council's proposed wording as highlighted in green in the exam document.

Yours sincerely,

Leanne Whiteman MRTPI

SF Planning Limited

Leanne@sfplanning.co.uk

01242 231575